

# Updated pupil weighting - Estimated Impacts

Based on FY2020 data with minor adjustments

## Immediate transition to updated pupil weights

### Transition assistance analysis where districts' rates cannot increase by more than 5% per year

These are estimates only and do not reflect future real world spending decisions.

\*See Notes at the bottom for more details and assumptions

a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	f.	g.	h.	i.	j.
County	School district	FY2020 Adjusted Rate* (Yield: \$10,817)	Estimated Weighting Rates (Yield: \$6,849)	Relative percentage change in rates (FY2020 adj. rates to updated weighting rates)	Transition analysis (Assuming constant spending)				
					Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
					Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%	Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%	Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%	Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%	Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%
<b>Addison</b>									
Addison	Addison Central USD	\$ 1.62	\$ 1.68	4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Addison NW USD	\$ 1.77	\$ 1.73	-2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Granville-Hancock USD	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.67	1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Mt. Abraham USD	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.63	-2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Bennington</b>									
Bennington	Arlington	\$ 1.67	\$ 1.63	-3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Mt. Anthony UHSD	\$ 1.36	\$ 1.32	-3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	North Bennington ID	\$ 1.54	\$ 1.61	5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Sandgate	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.27	1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Searsburg	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.54	2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Southwest Vermont UESD	\$ 1.38	\$ 1.29	-7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Stamford	\$ 1.31	\$ 1.27	-3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Taconic & Green Regional USD	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.49	-5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Winhall	\$ 1.63	\$ 1.81	11%	\$ (190,443)	\$ (31,673)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
<b>Caledonia</b>									
Caledonia	Caledonia Cooperative USD	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.45	-8%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Danville	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.58	0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Hazen UHSD	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.61	-5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Kingdom East USD	\$ 1.46	\$ 1.34	-9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Peacham	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.55	-8%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	St. Johnsbury	\$ 1.39	\$ 1.36	-2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Stannard	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00	0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Chittenden</b>									
Chittenden	Burlington	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.25	-16%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Champlain Valley USD	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.72	16%	\$ (6,081,270)	\$ (3,056,120)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Colchester	\$ 1.39	\$ 1.45	4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Essex-Westford EC USD	\$ 1.49	\$ 1.57	6%	\$ (346,005)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Milton	\$ 1.38	\$ 1.35	-2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Mt. Mansfield UUSD	\$ 1.46	\$ 1.66	13%	\$ (2,919,076)	\$ (1,067,028)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	South Burlington	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.52	3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Winooski ID	\$ 1.41	\$ 1.05	-26%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
<b>Essex</b>									
Essex	Brighton	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.21	-22%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Canaan	\$ 1.73	\$ 1.61	-7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Northeast Kingdom Choice USD	\$ 1.70	\$ 1.89	11%	\$ (282,775)	\$ (30,555)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Franklin</b>									
Franklin	Enosburgh-Richford USD	\$ 1.29	\$ 1.17	-10%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Fairfax	\$ 1.21	\$ 1.33	10%	\$ (545,033)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Fletcher	\$ 1.54	\$ 1.40	-9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Georgia	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.50	12%	\$ (819,225)	\$ (233,793)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Maple Run USD	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.50	2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Missisquoi Valley School District	\$ 1.41	\$ 1.36	-3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Northern Mountain Valley UUSD	\$ 1.31	\$ 1.15	-12%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

These are estimates only and do not reflect future real world spending decisions.

\*See Notes at the bottom for more details and assumptions

a. County	b. School district	c. FY2020 Adjusted Rate* (Yield: \$10,817)	d. Estimated Weighting Rates (Yield: \$6,849)	e. Relative percentage change in rates (FY2020 adj. rates to updated weighting rates)	f. g. h. i. j. Transition analysis (Assuming constant spending)				
					Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
					Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%	Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%	Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%	Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%	Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%
<b>Grand Isle</b>		\$ -	\$ -		\$ (17,444,210)	\$ (6,362,385)	\$ (710,295)	\$ (28,319)	\$ -
Grand Isle	Alburgh	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.39	-13%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Champlain Islands UUSD	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.57	-5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	South Hero	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.60	1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Lamoille</b>		\$ -	\$ -						
Lamoille	Cambridge	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.56	8%	\$ (135,593)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Lamoille North MUSD A	\$ 1.56	\$ 1.37	-12%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Lamoille North MUSD B	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.36	-10%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Lamoille South USD	\$ 1.43	\$ 1.48	3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Wolcott	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.52	-4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>Orange</b>		\$ -	\$ -						
Orange	Blue Mountain USD	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.36	-11%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Echo Valley Community School District	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.19	-16%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	First Branch USD	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.52	-5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Orange Southwest USD	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.54	-3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Oxbow UHSD	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.41	-9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Rivendell Interstate USD	\$ 1.81	\$ 1.49	-17%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Stratford	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.57	1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Thetford	\$ 1.75	\$ 2.06	17%	\$ (861,940)	\$ (490,752)	\$ (101,006)	\$ -	\$ -
Waits River Valley USD	\$ 1.40	\$ 1.33	-5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
<b>Orleans</b>		\$ -	\$ -						
Orleans	Charleston	\$ 1.53	\$ 1.11	-28%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Coventry	\$ 1.38	\$ 1.21	-13%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Craftsbury	\$ 1.70	\$ 1.46	-14%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Derby	\$ 1.14	\$ 1.07	-6%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Holland	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.24	-17%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Jay	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.24	-18%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Lake Region UHSD	\$ 1.41	\$ 1.26	-11%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Lake Region Union Elementary-Middle School	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.01	-19%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Lowell	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.00	-21%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Morgan	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.09	-13%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Newport City	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.00	-20%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Newport Town	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.21	-24%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	North Country Jr UHSD	\$ 1.49	\$ 1.36	-9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	North Country Sr UHSD	\$ 1.44	\$ 1.31	-9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Orleans Southwest UESD	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.52	-7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Troy	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.09	-27%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Westfield	\$ 1.53	\$ 1.00	-35%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
<b>Rutland</b>		\$ -	\$ -						
Rutland	Barstow USD	\$ 1.40	\$ 1.46	4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Ira	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.39	3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Mettawee School District	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.43	-1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Mill River USD	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.48	-6%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Otter Valley USD	\$ 1.37	\$ 1.36	-1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Pittsfield	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.60	26%	\$ (143,120)	\$ (106,704)	\$ (68,468)	\$ (28,319)	\$ -
	Quarry Valley USD	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.45	-4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Rutland City	\$ 1.43	\$ 1.33	-7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Rutland Town	\$ 1.46	\$ 1.66	14%	\$ (611,636)	\$ (249,804)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Slate Valley USD	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.43	-4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Wells Spring USD	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.37	-9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
<b>Washington</b>		\$ -	\$ -						
Washington	Barre USD	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.29	3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Cabot	\$ 1.70	\$ 1.55	-9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Harwood USD	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.82	10%	\$ (1,439,602)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Montpelier Roxbury School District	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.67	11%	\$ (1,022,916)	\$ (59,501)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Paine Mountain School District	\$ 1.43	\$ 1.43	0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Twinfield USD	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.64	-3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Washington Central USD	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.62	-6%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	

These are estimates only and do not reflect future real world spending decisions.

\*See Notes at the bottom for more details and assumptions

a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	f. g. h. i. j.				
					Transition analysis (Assuming constant spending)				
County	School district	FY2020 Adjusted Rate* (Yield: \$10,817)	Estimated Weighting Rates (Yield: \$6,849)	Relative percentage change in rates (FY2020 adj. rates to updated weighting rates)	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
					Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%	Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%	Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%	Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%	Estimated cost to hold tax rates at maximum 5%
Windham		\$ -	\$ -		\$ (17,444,210)	\$ (6,362,385)	\$ (710,295)	\$ (28,319)	\$ -
Windham	Bellows Falls UHSD	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.66	0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Marlboro	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.42	-15%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	River Valleys USD	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.47	-10%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Rockingham	\$ 1.61	\$ 1.57	-3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Southern Valley USD	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.09	-14%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Stratton	\$ 1.78	\$ 1.93	8%	\$ (29,112)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Twin Valley USD	\$ 2.06	\$ 1.63	-21%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Vernon	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.64	10%	\$ (264,843)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	West River MUED A	\$ 1.71	\$ 1.35	-21%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	West River MUED B	\$ 2.11	\$ 1.84	-13%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Windham	\$ 2.27	\$ 1.66	-27%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Windham Northeast UESD	\$ 1.71	\$ 1.42	-17%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Windham Southeast USD	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.74	4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Windsor		\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Windsor	Green Mountain USD	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.34	-9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Hartford	\$ 1.56	\$ 1.63	5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Hartland	\$ 1.67	\$ 1.69	1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Ludlow-Mt. Holly UUSD	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.44	-14%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Mount Ascutney USD	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.56	8%	\$ (243,139)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Norwich	\$ 1.74	\$ 2.12	22%	\$ (1,508,484)	\$ (1,036,454)	\$ (540,822)	\$ -	\$ -
	Rochester-Stockbridge USD	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.49	-12%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Sharon	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.44	-6%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Springfield	\$ 1.71	\$ 1.59	-7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Weathersfield	\$ 1.54	\$ 1.58	3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	White River USD	\$ 1.67	\$ 1.51	-9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Windsor Central UUSD	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.57	-4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	

- \* Notes:**
- a.) All estimates are based on FY 2020 education spending, with some minor adjustments made to reflect data corrections. Total education spending is determined by local votes, so future spending decisions and tax rates will likely differ from what has been modeled. In this model, education spending was held constant at the FY20 level, even when modeling out years.
  - b.) The yield is based on the sum of local education spending decisions as well as other revenues coming into the education fund. All additional revenues are FY 2020 numbers, and have not been modeled to change in the future.
  - c.) LT ADM are from FY 2020, with some minor adjustments made to reflect data corrections. This includes LT ADM within each cost factor category.
  - d.) FY 2020 data was used without Act 46 merger incentives. This led to higher equalized tax rates than would be needed to raise enough for education spending. As such, the yield was readjusted, which, in turn, lowered equalized tax rates.
  - e.) Free and Reduced Lunch was used as a measurement for poverty.
  - f.) The yield was adjusted for updated pupil weights to account for changes.
  - g.) Estimated pupil weighting rates have been calculated by AOE.

**Column descriptions:**

- Column a. :** The column labels the county a district is located in.
- Column b.:** This column labels the district.
- Column c.:** This column shows the rate for the adjusted FY 2020 data. This is used as the base rate for comparison purposes.
- Column d.:** This column shows the estimated updated pupil weighting rates. These were calculated assuming FY 2020 adjusted spending.
- Column e.:** This column shows the relative percent change between the FY 2020 adjusted rate (in column c.) and the estimated updated pupil weighting rates (in column d.)
- Column f.:** This column shows the cost in Year 1 to hold tax rates at a maximum 5% increase from the previous year.
- Column g.:** This column shows the cost in Year 2 to hold tax rates at a maximum 5% increase from the previous year.
- Column h.:** This column shows the cost in Year 3 to hold tax rates at a maximum 5% increase from the previous year.
- Column i.:** This column shows the cost in Year 4 to hold tax rates at a maximum 5% increase from the previous year.
- Column j.:** This column shows the cost in Year 5 to hold tax rates at a maximum 5% increase from the previous year.

**Additional Details:**  
 For a more detailed description of assumptions, please reference the Task Force on the Implementation of the Pupil Weighting Factors Report (2021)